In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

OFFICE OF STECIAL MASI

Filed: January 31, 2023

* * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
DIANE ROEDER on behalf of	*	
M.M.R.	*	UNPUBLISHED
	*	
Petitioner,	*	No. 19-1897V
	*	Special Master Gowen
v.	*	•
	*	Attorneys' Fees and Costs
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	·
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	

Paul A. Green, Law Office of Paul Green, Pasadena, CA, for Petitioner.

Parisa Tabassian, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On August 1, 2022, Diane Roeder ("Petitioner") filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 43). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs and award a total of \$45,233.00.

I. **Procedural History**

On December 13, 2019, Petitioner filed a petition on behalf of M.M.R., for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Program.² Petition at Preamble. (ECF No. 1). Petitioner alleges that M.M.R. suffered from postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome after receiving human papillomavirus vaccines on May 14, 2015, August 17, 2016, and December 15, 2016. On March 22, 2022, I issued my decision dismissing the petition for insufficient proof. (ECF No. 38).

¹ I intend to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) (Vaccine Act or the Act). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

On August 1, 2022, Petitioner filed a motion for final attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests compensation in the total amount of \$47,849.50, representing \$41,104.50 in attorneys' fees and \$6,745.00 in costs. Fees App. at 11. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner warrants she did not personally incur any costs in pursuit of her claim. *Id.* Respondent filed his response on August 11, 2018, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Response at 2 (ECF No. 44). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

II. Analysis

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master may award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for a petition that does not result in an award of compensation but was filed in good faith and supported by a reasonable basis. § 300aa–15(e)(1). Here, although the petition was eventually dismissed, I am satisfied that petitioner filed her case in a good faith belief that M.M.R.'s vaccinations were the cause of her injuries, and the matter had a reasonable basis to proceed as it did. Additionally, respondent has indicated that he is satisfied that good faith and reasonable basis have been met in this case. Accordingly, a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs is proper in this case.

Petitioners "bea[r] the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n. 1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208–09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99–537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008).

a. Attorneys' Fees

Petitioner requests the following rates for the work of her counsel, Mr. Paul Green: \$425.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$435.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, \$440.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, and \$445.00 per hour for work performed in 2022. These hourly rates exceed what Mr. Green has previously been awarded for his Vaccine Program work. *See, e.g., Silva v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 18-1887V, 2022 WL 326488 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 21, 2022). I therefore find the following hourly rates to be reasonable for Mr. Green's work in this case: \$390.00 per hour for work performed in 2019, \$405.00 per hour for work performed in 2020, \$420.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, and \$440.00 per hour for work performed in 2022. Application of these rates results in a reduction of \$2,616.50.

Turning next to review of the submitted billing statement, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries are reasonable and accurately describe the work being performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Respondent also has not identified any particular entries as being objectionable. Therefore, Petitioner is entitled to final

attorneys' fees of \$38,488.00.

b. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests total attorneys' costs in the amount of \$6,745.00. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, the Court's filing fee, and work performed by Petitioner's medical expert, Dr. Lawrence Steinman. All of these costs are typical of Vaccine Program litigation, are reasonable in my experience, and have been supported by Petitioner with adequate documentation. Accordingly, Petitioner is awarded the full amount of costs requested.

III. Conclusion

Accordingly, I award a lump sum in the amount of \$45,233.00, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner and her attorney, Mr. Paul Green.³

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁴

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen Special Master

-

³ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

⁴ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).